

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,902	07/10/2003	Jun Zhao	A7206/T47300	4081
7590 05/07/2004			EXAMINER	
APPLIED MATERILES, INC.			JIANG, CHEN WEN	
Patent Counsel, M/S 2061			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Legal Affairs Department P.O. Box 450A			3744	
Santa Clara, CA 95052			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/617,902	ZHAO ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chen-Wen Jiang	3744		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	,				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>20 and 24-32</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,6,13,14,16,17 and 19</u> is/are rejection(s) <u>2,4,7-12,15,18 and 21-23</u> is/are object Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. cted. ted to.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) D Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 20030710.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/617,902 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: "convention" should be changed to --convection--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 21-23 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 21 probably should be depended on claim 20. Appropriate correction is required. The Examiner assumes claim 21 depends on claim 20 in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,3,5,6,13,14,16,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark (U.S. Patent Number 5,993,053) in view of Hoisington et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,814,786) or Khijniak et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,823,676).

In regard to claims 1,13,16,17 and 19, Clark discloses an apparatus and method for convective stirring of finely divided particles. Referring to Figs.1-7, a reservoir 10 containing toner 15 and a heating element 20 located within the reservoir. Toner 15 contains particles which would normally settle to the bottom of reservoir 10 and other agents which do not settle but remain in solution in the liquid vehicle. Convective flow in fluid 15 entrains colloidal particles and other dissolved agents and causes them to uniformly disperse in the fluid 15, thus rendering the fluid 15 homogeneous and capable of making uniform prints. The heater 20 may be inside or

Art Unit: 3744

outside the reservoir 10 and may comprise separate heaters, alternately or simultaneously energized (individually controlled). However, Clark does not explicitly disclose a delivery system. Hoisington et al. and Khijniak et al. disclose a delivery system associated with the mixing fluid in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of delivering mixed fluid. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Clark with a delivery system in view of Hoisington et al. and Khijniak et al. so as to deliver the mixing fluid. The particles are dielectric.

In regard to claim 3, Hoisington et al. disclose an insulation material around the reservoir.

In regard to claims 5 and 6, it is well known that the heater and cooler are

interchangeable in the refrigeration system.

In regard to claim 14, the arrangement of the heating/cooling elements are design choice and not patentable.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 20 and 24-32 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 2,4,7,8,9,10-12,15 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 to 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,902

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner Cur J